FARRIS MATHEWS BRANAN BOBANGO & HELLEN, PLC

RECEIVED

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

2003 JUN 30 AM 11: 59

HISTORIC CASTNER-KNOTT BUILDING 618 CHURCH STREET, SUITE 300 NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37219

TRA DOCKET ROOM

Charles B. Welch, Jr. cwelch@farrismathews.com

Telephone: (615) 726-1200 Facsimile: (615) 726-1776

Writers Direct Dial: 615-687-4230

June 30, 2003

<u>VIA HAND DELIVERY</u>

Chairman Sara Kyle Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0505

Re:

Tariff Filing to Modify Language Regarding Special Contracts

Docket No. 03-00366

Dear Chairman Kyle:

Enclosed for filing, please find the original plus fourteen (14) copies of the Comments of Time Warner Telecom of the Mid-South, LLC in the referenced docket. Copies have been served upon all parties of record.

If you have any questions or concerns with regard to this filing, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

FARRIS, MATHEWS, BRANAN, BOBANGO & HELLEN, P.L.C.

Charles B. Welch, Jr.

CBW:ri

Enclosures

Carolyn Marek cc:

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:)
TARIFF FILING TO MODIFY LANGUAGE REGARDING) No. 03-00366
SPECIAL CONTRACTS, REQUEST FOR DECLARATORY)
ORDER INTERPRETING THE LANGUAGE OF PUBLIC CHAPTER 41)
OF TENNESSEE 2003 PUBLIC ACT REGARDING THE EFFECTIVE DATE)
ARRANGEMENTS FILED WITH	
THE AUTHORITY)

COMMENTS OF TIME WARNER TELECOM OF THE MID-SOUTH LLC

Time Warner Telecom of the Mid-South, LLC ("Time Warner"), through its counsel, submits the following comments, pursuant to notice dated June 24, 2003, concerning the statutory interpretation of Chapter 41 of the Tennessee 2003 Public Acts.

Time Warner has previously advised this Authority that it enters into special contracts or agreements with most, if not all, of its customers on a nationwide basis. This mode of operation is critical to Time Warner's business plan in Tennessee and other states in which it provides services.

It is clear from the express language of Chapter 41 that it is applicable to all telecommunications service providers. Furthermore, it is clear from the legislative record that the intent of the law is to make current state law and rules less restrictive. Specifically, the legislative record clearly indicates that no additional filing requirements should be imposed upon competing exchange carriers.

As competitive local exchange markets are developed and new services are bundled with existing services, providers will necessarily use contracts or service agreements as a vehicle to deliver services to meet the specific or unique demands of customers. For this reason, Time Warner contends that the regulation of such contracts should be less restrictive and the industry should be permitted to market services without unnecessary delay, subject to other statutory and regulatory obligations. Accordingly, Time Warner suggests that, upon complaint or on its own initiative, the Authority must review contract service arrangements filed by incumbent carriers when it appears that evidence exists to demonstrate pricing below costs as prohibited by T.C.A. § 65-5-208(c).

Respectfully submitted,

FARRIS, MATHEWS, BRANAN BOBANGO & HELLEN, P.L.C.

Charles B. Welch, Jr.

Attorney for Petitioner, Time Warner Telecom

of the Mid-South, LLC

618 Church Street, Suite 300

Nashville, Tennessee 37219

(615) 726-1200

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, upon the following parties of record, this the 30th day of June, 2003.

Joelle Phillips, Esq. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 333 Commerce St., Suite 2101 Nashville, TN 37201-3300

Henry Walker, Esq. Boult, Cummings, et al. 414 Union St., Ste 1600 Nashville, TN 37219-8062

Martha Ross-Bain, Esq. AT&T 1200 Peachtree St., NE, Ste 4068 Atlanta, GA 30309

Vance Brommell Consumer Advocate Division 425 5th Avenue, N., 2nd Floor Nashville, TN 37243-0491

Charles B. Welch, Jr.